#### **WELCOME TO RIGAKU VIRTUAL WORKSHOP** DEEP DIVE: DIGITAL ROCK ANALYSIS 1. Data Collection





Presenter: **Aya Takase** | Director of X-ray Imaging Co-presenter: **Angela Criswell** | Senior Scientist Host: **Tom Concolino** | Analytical X-Ray Consultant





# GEODICT The Digital Material Laboratory

# Arne Jacob | Math2Market Application Engineer





#### You can ask questions during the presentation. We might turn on your microphone for further discussions.





#### Recording will be available tomorrow.





### Digital Rock Analysis – 1. Data Collection Virtual Workshop presented by Aya Takase





# DIGITAL ROCK ANALYSIS SERIES

- 1. Data collection
- 2. Segmentation and property analyses
- 3. Digital rock simulations



# THINGS WE'LL COVER

- How to assess the required resolution
- How to collect high-quality CT images
- How to evaluate the image quality





#### **CT Lab HX by Rigaku** The versatile and compact micro-CT scanner





#### **GeoDict by Math2Market** The Digital Material Laboratory



## WHAT IS DIGITAL ROCK PHYSICS/ANALYSIS?



# Digital rock physics

Analysis of flow properties of reservoir rocks using image-based computation













#### Water + Oil + Gas





By MagentaGreen- https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Anticline\_trap.svg



# Porosity Ratio of open/connected pores Absolute permeability Relative permeability Mechanical properties

Forced imbibition characteristics









Experimental approach	Digital approach	
Empirical	Theoretical	
Slow (years)	Fast (weeks)	
Expensive	Inexpensive	
Needs to scale better	Needs to be validated	







#### Experimental approach

#### Digital approach





## WHAT IMAGE PROPERTIES TO CONSIDER?





# Field of view (FOV)Voxel resolutionSignal-to-noise ratio

(scan time)







#### Not good enough



Good



#### Voxel resolution



#### Not good enough



Good



#### Field of view (FOV)



#### Not large enough



#### Large enough…File size?



## HOW DO WE SELECT ALL PARAMETERS?



#### Field of view (FOV) > Representative elemental volume (REV)



## FOV 10 mm





## FOV 2.3 mm 450<sup>3</sup>, 16-bit, 182 MB



Representative elemental volume (REV)

Representative volume element (RVE)





### Field of view (FOV) > REV ~ $D_{eff} \ge 5$ [\*]

[\*] <u>Saxena et al., Adv. Water</u> <u>Resour., 2018, 116, p. 127-144</u>



#### $D_{\rm eff}$ : The effective grain size

Definition: Rumpf et al., Chemie Ingenieur Technik, 1973, 43(6), p. 367-375

Empirical data: Glover et al., Geophysics, 2009, 74(1):E17



#### Voxel resolution < Dominant throat size / 10 [\*]



[\*] Saxena et al., Adv. Water Resour., 116, 2018, p. 127-144



#### Signal to noise ratio (SNR) High enough to segment image





#### Low SNR





#### Signal to noise ratio (SNR) High enough to segment image





#### Low SNR







### Field of view (FOV) Voxel resolution Signal-to-noise ratio



## HOW DO WE INCREASE THE RESOLUTION & SNR?



Signal to noise ratio (SNR) Voxel resolution





18 sec, 50 µm

#### 2 min, 50 µm

17 min, 25.2 μm 75 min, 9.4 μm



## WHAT HAPPENS IF WE INCREASE THE FOV, TOO?





File size = 2 PB = 2000 TB



#### Voxel resolution = FOV / 3000 ~ FOV / 1000



Small FOV, small voxel



Large FOV, large voxel



## WHAT DOES THIS ALL MEAN?





*G*: ~ 0.2 for siliciclastic rocks

<u>Thomeer, J. Pet. Technol., 1983, 35, p. 809-814</u>

Saxena et al., Adv. Water Resour., 2017, 109, p. 211-235



permeability (mDarcy) porosity (fraction)  

$$(k) = 3.8068G^{-1.3334} \left( \underbrace{000}_{2.13} \right)^2 \text{ pore throat diameter}$$

Minimum D ~ voxel size x 10

permeability (mDarcy) porosity (fraction)





# permeability (mDarcy) porosity (fraction) $(k) = 3.8068G^{-1.3334} \left( \underbrace{400}_{2.13} \right)^2 \text{ pore throat} \text{ diameter}$

Minimum D ~ voxel size x 10

voxel size porosity (fraction) Minimum permeability



















Rock type	Permeability (mD)*	Porosity**
Coarse gravel	10 <sup>6</sup> - 10 <sup>7</sup>	
Sands, gravels	10 <sup>3</sup> - 10 <sup>6</sup>	
Fine sand, silt	10 <sup>-1</sup> - 10 <sup>3</sup>	
Clay, shales	10 <sup>-6</sup> – 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8 – 15%
Limestones	10 <sup>3</sup> - 10 <sup>5</sup>	
Sandstones	10 <sup>-2</sup> - 10 <sup>3</sup>	14 – 30%
Granite	$10^{-5} - 10^{-1}$	

\* Introduction to Rock Properties by Prof. Robert Zimmerman at Imperial College London - Course Notes

\*\* Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey













 $\leftarrow$  ~ 1 mm  $\rightarrow$ 

← ~ 1 mm -----

— ~ 200 μm — →

Sandstone Idaho Gray FOV 10 mm, voxel 5 μm 2 hr 20 min scan

Sandstone Liver Rock FOV 7 mm, voxel 2.5 μm 17 hr 30 min scan Shale Eagle Ford FOV 0.7 mm, voxel 0.31 µm 14 hr scan







# THINGS COVERED

- How to assess the required resolution
- How to collect high-quality CT images
- How to evaluate the image quality



# **USEFUL RESOURCES**

• PetroWiki

https://petrowiki.spe.org/Rock type influence on permeability

• Digital Rocks Portal

https://www.digitalrocksportal.org/



# Q & A SESSION











We'll follow up with your questions.

Recording will be available tomorrow.

Register for the next workshop.



# Next: Digital Rock Analysis 2. Segmentation & property analyses August 17<sup>th</sup> Wednesday 11:00 am PDT / 2:00 pm EDT



# THANK YOU FOR JOINING US SEE YOU NEXT TIME

